Office Action Summary

Application No.

Appm ant(s)

09/194,560

Leijon et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit
Enad, Elvin 2834



•	desponsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 28, 2000
	his action is FINAL.
as to the merits is closed	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosect accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213
or response will cause the	ortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>3</u> monnger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the percation to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtain EFR 1.136(a).
	osition of Claims
iding in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/ar
	Of the above, claim(s) is/are
	Claim(s)
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>
	Claim(s)
	Claims are subject to restri
or orderen roquirement.	
	ication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
	1
approved.	The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproved The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
	The oath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)
peen	
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
17.2(a))	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
	*Certified copies not received:
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	hment(s)
	Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Interview Summary, PTO-413
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
	SEE DEELCE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING TAGES
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES



Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-
- (d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. PCT/SE97/00895, filed on May 27, 1997.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person